UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/426,143	10/22/1999	JOHN WAINWRIGHT	49658-034	1474
	7590 04/15/201 & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
3040 POST OA	K BOULEVARD	HARRISON, CHANTE E		
SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX	X 77056		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/426,143	WAINWRIGHT, JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHANTE HARRISON	2628		

	OTH WITE THE WITE OF THE OTHER	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered because
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (a) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed in the proposed amendment (a) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed amendment (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed amendment (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed amendment (c) They raise the proposed amendment (c) They r	nsideration and/or search (see NOา	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Ossattaskad Nation of Nam Oss	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Chante Harrison/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2628

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments as set forth in the Final Office action. Additionally, Merrill teaches an animation is provided by a COM object through a COM interface (Para 119, 121) (a group of functions a program uses to access an objects data or properties) (Para 124). Merrill teaches the polymorphism characteristic of the COM object allows interaction with the object and its instances (the similar objects that are manipulated by a common interface) (Para 125, 126). Applicant argues the rejection associates different claim elements, "object identifier" and "graphical components", with the same element, "agent.object", of the prior art.

The rejection associates the "agent.object" element of Merrill with the "object identifier" of the present invention because the string of characters identifies an object (as Applicant's Specification discloses p. 9, II. 3-10). The rejection associates the claimed "graphical component" with the "object" identified in the prior arts "agent.object", as the "obect" identifies the version or instances of the same object (as Applicant's Specification also discloses p. 4, II. 5-12).